

How to glue derived categories

Context 1) \mathcal{C}_i abelian, $\varPhi: \mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ left exact.

$\mathcal{C}_i \amalg_{\varPhi} \mathcal{C}_2 :=$ cat. w/ ob $(\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{C}_2, \alpha)$, $\alpha: \mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \varPhi(\mathcal{C}_i)$
aka comm category; abelian again.

Then $D(\mathcal{C}_i \amalg_{\varPhi} \mathcal{C}_2) \cong \langle D(\mathcal{C}_i), D(\mathcal{C}_2) \rangle$ w/ gluing functor $R^*\varPhi$.

Issue: cannot recover $D(\mathcal{C}_i \amalg_{\varPhi} \mathcal{C}_2)$ from $D(\mathcal{C}_i)$, $R^*\varPhi$.

To find enhancement, usually need some context.

2) I small, \mathcal{C} ab. \mathcal{C}^I ab. But $D(\mathcal{C}^I)$ cannot be recovered
from $D(\mathcal{C})$, I . (I an arrow \Rightarrow last example)

3) Combine 1+2): I small, \mathcal{C} abelian, $f: i \rightarrow i'$ $\mapsto f^*: \mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{i'}$ left ex

i.e., Groth. context
 $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \\ \downarrow I & \text{"preservation"} & \\ I & \text{condition.} & \end{array}$
 $f_1^* \circ f_2^* \rightarrow (f_2 \circ f_1)^*$

Consider category of sections $\Gamma: I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $\mathrm{Sec}(I, \mathcal{C})$

Note \mathcal{C} not abelian. Then $\mathrm{Sec}(I, \mathcal{C})$ is abelian.

But cannot construct $D(\mathrm{Sec}(I, \mathcal{C}))$ from I , $D(\mathcal{C})$.

Typically, 4 enhanceanc:

- 1) DG enhancement, via model cat. of Tabuada + Morta equiv.
(R-linear, K-basically)
- 2) Special enhancement
- 3) Stable model cat.
- 4) Stable ss-cat.

Each gives soln to problem. But are they applicable?

People use 1.)^{dg} in alg geom. But 1.) doesn't apply well to ex 2; shuffle maps, etc.
ex 3 even worse.
Even worse for 2.)^{special}.

3) has advantage just a category. But not flexible enough; not all of 1-d cats in nature can have
stable model cat in practice, in an obvious way.

4) designed for this, but still seems hard. Are there easier ideas in practice?

Todays: something b/w 3 and 4. Relax notion of "model," not of "stable"

Dfn: A model pair is $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}')$ s.t.

- \mathcal{C}' model cat (finite (co)limits, \mathcal{C}' , F , W)
abs. by weak
- $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}'$ full closed under W ($W: \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}'$, $C \in \mathcal{C} \Leftrightarrow C \in \mathcal{C}'$)

Then $H^0(\mathcal{C}) \subset H^0(\mathcal{C}')$; no need to demand \mathcal{C} is model cat itself. (In practice, may not have all (co)limits)

Def $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ is stable if

0. pointed — \exists zero object, $0 \in \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E}$.

1. Cart $X \rightarrow Y$ w/ $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, Cartesian .
 $\downarrow \quad \downarrow$
 $X' \rightarrow Y'$ Then it's htpy as Cartesian.

(Likely, dual version: works in op categories.
 \hookrightarrow $\text{coCart} \rightarrow \text{CoCart}$)

2. For such a square,

$$\begin{array}{ll} X, Y, X' \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow Y' \in \mathcal{C} & c \rightarrow c \\ X', Y, Y' \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow X \in \mathcal{C}. & \downarrow \rightarrow \text{ptd in } \mathcal{C} \\ & c \rightarrow c \end{array}$$

$$\int = \text{ptd in } \mathcal{C}.$$

Prop $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ stable $\Rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ triangulated

But $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ need not be triangulated!

Def $\varphi: \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ is right ducible if $\varphi(F) \subset F$, $\varphi(F \cap W) \subset \varphi(F \cap W)$

φ is stable if $R\varphi$ preserves squares as in 1, and $\varphi(\mathcal{C}_1) \subset \mathcal{C}_2$.

Prop $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$ stable model pair, φ stable + right ducible.

Then $(\mathcal{C}_1 \uparrow_{\varphi} \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_1' \uparrow_{\varphi} \mathcal{C}_2')$ is stable model pair.

pf (0) in (co)limits. Just a fact about model cats: Given I finite, filters $I \xrightarrow{\alpha} C_i$, $C_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \varphi(C_1)$,
then $\operatorname{colim}_I C_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \operatorname{colim}_I \varphi(C_1) \rightarrow \varphi(\operatorname{colim}_I C_1)$, in the colim.

But not so easy for limits: $\lim_{\leftarrow} C_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \varphi(\lim_{\leftarrow} C_1)$ \sim the product $(\lim_{\leftarrow} C)_2$ B
So \lim_{\leftarrow} no longer preserves. $\lim_{\leftarrow} \varphi(C_2) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \varphi(\lim_{\leftarrow} C_1)$ compact 1 of \lim_{\leftarrow} \sim the coproduct of $\lim_{\leftarrow} C$.

\lim_{\leftarrow} fiber product $\rightarrow \varphi(\lim_{\leftarrow} C_1)$.

And so on. //

Ex 2 has problem: \mathcal{C}^I has no model structure in gen., but should impose condn on \mathcal{C} , or on I .
 Imposing condition on I is Reedy condition.

Defn A Reedy category \mathcal{I} is

- small cat, w/

- degree fn: $\deg: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

- two classes of mgs L, M s.t. $L \subset I$, $M \subset I$ subcategories,

$$(1) L \ni i \xrightarrow{i'} i \quad \deg(i') \leq i$$

$$M \ni i \xrightarrow{i'} i \quad \deg(i') \geq i$$

- (2) Any f uniquely decomposes as ~~to~~, ~~thus~~:

$$f = f_{lm} \quad l \in L, m \in M.$$

Vote • I Reedy $\Rightarrow I^{\text{op}}$ Reedy

- Obj have no autom.

- only one obj in each isom class (no isom).

Thm (Reedy) I Reedy, \mathcal{C} model $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}^I$ has natural model str.

Ex • $I = \Delta$

• $I = \Delta^{\text{op}}$.

Defn A Reedy model presentation $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow I$ is

- (with back profib)

- I Reedy

- Fibers \mathcal{C}_i have model str.

- Transition factors $f_i: \mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{i+1}$ are right decompible

- l^+ has right adj $\dashv l \in L$

- $(l^+ \circ m^+) \rightarrow (m \circ l)^+$ is an isom.

No adjn terms cancel for M^+ ,
 but they are symmetric.

Thm (Bulzini) $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ Reedy model profibers

Then $\text{Sec}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$ has natural model structure.

Application:

- \mathcal{I} small. ~~Reedy nerve~~, aka "simplicial"

$$\Delta \mathcal{I} = \text{cat al ob } (\mathbb{T}_n \in \Delta, \mathbb{T}_n \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{I})$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{just}^+ & \downarrow & \text{forget} \\ \mathcal{I} & \Delta & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{T}_0, \dots, \mathbb{T}_n) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathcal{I}_{(n)} \\ \downarrow & & \end{array}$$

$\Delta \mathcal{I}$ is Reedy, pulled back from Δ .

So take

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \Delta \mathcal{I} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathcal{I} \end{array}$$

Fmt
 Reedy soft more
 flexible than
 "cofibrantly generated"
 soft, which is
 trending today

$\text{Sec}(\Delta \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$ is better than $\text{Sec}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$. Can call this " $R\text{Sec}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$ ".
 where $R\text{Sec}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C}) \subset \text{Sec}(\Delta \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$.

Thus $R\text{Sec}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C}) \subset \text{Sec}(\Delta \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$ is stable model pair!

- Can also apply to (co)monad models.

- Any dg cat gives $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ stable model pair, and can also return to dg world.